

Message Text

CONFIDENTIAL

PAGE 01 MOSCOW 01585 020045Z
ACTION EUR-12

INFO OCT-01 ISO-00 CIAE-00 DODE-00 PM-05 H-01 INR-07
L-03 NSAE-00 NSC-05 PA-01 PRS-01 SP-02 SS-15
USIA-06 CU-04 BIB-01 HA-05 COME-00 /069 W
-----126538 021942Z /43

R 011727Z FEB 78
FM AMEMBASSY MOSCOW
TO SECSTATE WASHDC 7393
INFO AMEMBASSY BELGRADE
AMCONSUL LENINGRAD

C O N F I D E N T I A L MOSCOW 01585

BELGRADE FOR USDEL CSCE

E.O. 11652: GDS
TAGS: PINT PGOV, CSCE, SHUM, UR
SUBJECT: SHCHARANSKIY CASE AND REFUSENIK DEVELOPMENTS

REF: MOSCOW 1061

SUMMARY: REFUSENIK SOURCES HAVE GIVEN US SOME ADDITIONAL
BACKGROUND INFORMATION ON THE SHCHARANSKIY CASE, THEIR
ACTIVITIES IN HIS DEFENSE AND OTHER ACTIVITIES RELATED TO
THEIR EFFORTS TO GAIN EXIT PERMISSION. END SUMMARY.

1. SHCHARANSKIY CASE. REFUSENIKS VIEW SHCHARANSKIY PRIMARILY
AS ONE OF THEM --A JEWISH REFUSENIK--RATHER THAN AS A
DISSIDENT. THEY ARE VERY ACTIVE IN HIS CASE AND SEEK AND
RECEIVE HELP ABROAD BECAUSE HE IS JEWISH. THEY, AND THEIR
FOREIGN SUPPORTERS, FEAR THE ANTI-SEMITIC OVERTONES OF THE CASE
AGAINST HIM AND ARE CONCERNED THAT A TRIAL WOULD RELEASE THE
WIDESPREAD ANTI-SEMITISM WHICH THEY ARE CONVINCED EXISTS IN THE
SOVIET UNION. THEY ARE ALSO CONCERNED BECAUSE IF WHAT HE DID IS
ILLEGAL, THEIR MEETINGS WITH FOREIGNERS, ALMOST THE ONLY WAY
THEY HAVE LEFT TO CONTACT THEIR SUPPORTERS ABROAD, ARE ALSO BY
CONFIDENTIAL

CONFIDENTIAL

PAGE 02 MOSCOW 01585 020045Z

IMPLICATION ILLEGAL. OUR SOURCES BELIEVE THAT HUMAN RIGHTS
ACTIVISTS HAVE BEEN MUCH LESS ACTIVE IN GINZBURG AND ORLOV
CASES BECAUSE MANY OF THEM HAVE BEEN PUSHED OUT OF THE COUNTRY
AND BECAUSE THEY GET LESS HELP FROM ABROAD. ACCORDING TO OUR
SOURCES, REFUSENIKS HAVE BEEN ADVISED BY SOME OF THEIR FOREIGN
SUPPORTERS TO CONCENTRATE THEIR EFFORTS ON SHCHARANSKIY CASE
BECAUSE THE SUPPORTERS DO NOT HAVE UNLIMITED INFLUENCE.

2. MOSCOW REFUSENIKS CLAIM THAT 100 TO 110 REFUSENIKS THROUGHOUT THE COUNTRY HAVE BEEN INTERROGATED BY KGB IN SHCHARANSKIY INVESTIGATION. MANY OF THOSE OUTSIDE MOSCOW WERE UNAWARE OF EXISTENCE OF REFUSENIK ACTIVISTS IN MOSCOW UNTIL BEING WARNED BY KGB TO STAY AWAY FROM REFUSENIKS SUCH AS ALEKSANDR SLEPAK AND DINA BEILIN. AT LEAST SOME OF THE OUT-OF-TOWNERS THEN TRAVELLED TO MOSCOW AND GOT INTO CONTACT WITH MOSCOW ACTIVISTS AT SYNAGOGUE. MANY OF THOSE INTERROGATED, WHO REFUSED TO PROVIDE ANY INFORMATION WHICH COULD BE USED AGAINST SHCHARANSKIY, AFTERWARD WROTE STATEMENTS ABOUT WHAT THEY SAID AND SENT THEM ABROAD SO THAT REFUSENIKS COULD NOT BE MISQUOTED DURING TRIAL.

3. REFUSENIKS HAVE ACCESS TO INFORMATION FROM THE MOSCOW LAWYER'S ASSOCIATION AND BELIEVE THEY WOULD KNOW IF ONE OF ITS MEMBERS HAD BEEN SELECTED TO DEFEND SHCHARANSKIY. THEY HAVE HEARD NOTHING ON THIS SCORE, DESPITE FACT THAT JANUARY 25 DATE WHICH KGB TOLD MRS. MILGROM WOULD BE DEADLINE FOR FINDING A LAWYER HAS PASSED. MRS. MILGROM HAS NOT MADE A SERIOUS ATTEMPT TO FIND A SOVIET LAWYER FOR HER SON, ACCORDING TO OUR SOURCES, BECAUSE SOVIET ATTORNEYS WHOSE OPINIONS SHE ACCEPTS HAVE TOLD HER THEY WOULD HAVE NO CHANCE OF MOUNTING AN EFFECTIVE DEFENSE (REFTEL).

4. SIX REFUSENIKS RECENTLY WENT TO OFFICE OF CHIEF PROSECUTOR RUDENKO TO DISCUSS SHCHARANSKIY CASE. THEY WERE RECEIVED BY HIS ASSISTANT, WHOSE LAST NAME IS TIBULNIK (PHON.). IN RESPONSE TO CONFIDENTIAL

CONFIDENTIAL

PAGE 03 MOSCOW 01585 020045Z

THEIR QUESTIONS, HE TOLD THEM THAT SUPREME SOVIET HAD RIGHT TO EXTEND PRE-TRIAL DETENTION, DID NOT HAVE RIGHT TO PUT A PERSON TO DEATH, AND DID NOT HAVE RIGHT TO IMPRISON A PERSON FOR LIFE. WHEN TOLD THAT THIS WAS CONTRADICTORY, SINCE SIX MONTH PRE-TRIAL EXTENSIONS COULD, IF LEGAL, PRESUMABLY BE EXTENDED INDEFINITELY, AMOUNTING TO LIFE IMPRISONMENT, HE REPORTEDLY GOT UPSET.

5. SHCHARANSKIY KNEW, PRIOR TO HIS ARREST, THAT IF THERE WAS TO BE AN ARREST AMONG THE REFUSENIK ACTIVISTS, HE WOULD BE SELECTED, ESSENTIALLY BECAUSE HE WAS YOUNG, HEALTHY, MALE AND NOT PARTICULARLY WELL KNOWN ABROAD. DINA BEILIN AND IDA NUDEL WERE UNLIKELY BECAUSE FEMALE; ALEKSANDR LERNER BECAUSE ILL; ALEKSANDR SLEPAK BECAUSE OF HIS FAMILY AND INTEREST PRESIDENT CARTER HAD SHOWN IN THIS CASE.

6. OTHER ARRESTED REFUSENIKS. LEV ROTYLAND, WHO WAS ARRESTED IN 1975 AND GIVEN TWO YEARS IN PRISON ESSENTIALLY BECAUSE OF HIS EFFORTS TO SEE VISITING U.S. SENATORS, WAS RELEASED RECENTLY AND RETURNED TO ODESSA. SUBSEQUENT TO HIS RELEASE, HE WAS REFUSED EXIT PERMISSION, WHICH REFUSENIKS BELIEVE IS A BAD PRECEDENT. IN PAST, ARRESTED REFUSENIKS USUALLY HAVE BEEN GIVEN EXIT PERMISSION FOLLOWING RELEASE FROM PRISON.

7. THE INTERNAL EXILE OF IOSIF BEGUN, WHICH OCCURRED FOLLOWING HIS EFFORTS TO VISIT U.S. EMBASSY IN SPRING OF 1977, IS DUE TO END IN FEBRUARY.

8. DEMONSTRATION. TEN REFUSENIK WOMEN, WHOSE FAMILIES WERE DENIED EXIT PERMISSION BECAUSE OF THEIR ALLEGED CLASSIFIED WORK, HELD A DEMONSTRATION AT CC HEADQUARTERS ON JANUARY 27. KGB WAS AWARE OF INTENDED DEMONSTRATION AND INITIALLY WARNED THEM AGAINST IT, BUT THE WOMEN WERE FINALLY RECEIVED BY A.I. IVANOV, WHO THEY WERE TOLD WAS CHIEF OF A SECTION IN CC ADMINISTRATIVE DEPARTMENT. THE WOMEN WERE PARTICULARLY AMUSED BY THE PRESENCE OF THE HEAD OF THE ALL-UNION OVIR, SERVING AS SECRETARY AND BUSILY SCRIBBLING NOTES OF THE DISCUSSION.
CONFIDENTIAL

CONFIDENTIAL

PAGE 04 MOSCOW 01585 020045Z

9. PETITION TO U.S. CONGRESS. REFUSENIKS ARE PREPARING A PETITION TO BE SENT TO CONGRESS THANKING THAT BODY FOR ITS SUPPORT, STATING THAT THEY DO NOT BELIEVE SITUATION HAS IMPROVED IN SOVIET UNION, AND SUPPORT JACKSON/VANIK AMENDMENT. THEY EXPECT TO HAVE ABOUT 200 SIGNATURES ON THE PETITION.
MATLOCK

CONFIDENTIAL

NNN

Message Attributes

Automatic Decaptioning: Z
Capture Date: 01 jan 1994
Channel Indicators: n/a
Current Classification: UNCLASSIFIED
Concepts: DISSIDENT FACTIONS
Control Number: n/a
Copy: SINGLE
Draft Date: 01 feb 1978
Decaption Date: 20 Mar 2014
Decaption Note: 25 YEAR REVIEW
Disposition Action: RELEASED
Disposition Approved on Date:
Disposition Case Number: n/a
Disposition Comment: 25 YEAR REVIEW
Disposition Date: 20 Mar 2014
Disposition Event:
Disposition History: n/a
Disposition Reason:
Disposition Remarks:
Document Number: 1978MOSCOW01585
Document Source: CORE
Document Unique ID: 00
Drafter: n/a
Enclosure: n/a
Executive Order: GS
Errors: N/A
Expiration:
Film Number: D780050-0589
Format: TEL
From: MOSCOW
Handling Restrictions:
Image Path:
ISecure: 1
Legacy Key: link1978/newtext/t197802106/aaaadmdu.tel
Line Count: 143
Litigation Code IDs:
Litigation Codes:
Litigation History:
Locator: TEXT ON-LINE, ON MICROFILM
Message ID: 486a08ce-c288-dd11-92da-001cc4696bcc
Office: ACTION EUR
Original Classification: CONFIDENTIAL
Original Handling Restrictions: ONLY
Original Previous Classification: n/a
Original Previous Handling Restrictions: n/a
Page Count: 3
Previous Channel Indicators: n/a
Previous Classification: CONFIDENTIAL
Previous Handling Restrictions: ONLY
Reference: 78 MOSCOW 1061
Retention: 0
Review Action: RELEASED, APPROVED
Review Content Flags:
Review Date: 13 jun 2005
Review Event:
Review Exemptions: n/a
Review Media Identifier:
Review Release Date: n/a
Review Release Event: n/a
Review Transfer Date:
Review Withdrawn Fields: n/a
SAS ID: 3443385
Secure: OPEN
Status: NATIVE
Subject: SHCHIRANSKIY CASE AND REFUSENIK DEVELOPMENTS
TAGS: PINT, PGOV, SHUM, UR, CSCE, (SCHIRANSKIY, LEONID)
To: STATE
Type: TE
vdkgvwkey: odb://SAS/SAS.dbo.SAS_Docs/486a08ce-c288-dd11-92da-001cc4696bcc
Review Markings:
Sheryl P. Walter
Declassified/Released
US Department of State
EO Systematic Review
20 Mar 2014
Markings: Sheryl P. Walter Declassified/Released US Department of State EO Systematic Review 20 Mar 2014